

# FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR CYCLIC WEAK CONTRACTIONS IN MODULAR METRIC SPACES

HOSSEIN RAHIMPOOR<sup>∗</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University P. O. Box 19395-3697, Tehran, Iran

rahimpoor2000@pnu.ac.ir

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to present some fixed point results for  $\phi$ -contractions in modular metric spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of modular spaces was introduced by Nakano [\[10\]](#page-3-0) and was later reconsidered in detail by Musielak and Orlicz [\[8,](#page-3-1) [9\]](#page-3-2). In 2010, Chistyakov [\[2\]](#page-3-3) introduced a new metric structure, which has a physical interpretation and generalized modular spaces and complete metric spaces by introducing modular metric spaces. For more features of concepts of modular metric spaces, see e. g.,  $\left[1, 3, 4\right]$  $\left[1, 3, 4\right]$  $\left[1, 3, 4\right]$  $\left[1, 3, 4\right]$  $\left[1, 3, 4\right]$ . Fixed point theory involves many fields of mathematics and branches of applied science such as functional analysis, mathematical analysis, general topology and operator theory. In 2003, Kirk et al. [\[7\]](#page-3-7) introduced cyclic contraction in metric spaces and investigated the existence of proximity points and fixed points for cyclic contraction mapping. Later, Karapinar and Erhan[\[6\]](#page-3-8) proved the existence of fixed points for various types of cyclic contractions in a metric space. Recently, E. Karapinar in  $[5]$  proves a fixed point theorem for an operator T on a complete metric space X when X has a cyclic representation with respect to  $T$ . In this paper, we improve and generalized the fixed point results for mappings

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 47H10, 54H25; Secondary: 46A80 Key words and phrases. modular metric space, fixed point, cyclic  $\phi$ -contraction. <sup>∗</sup> Speaker.

#### 2 H. RAHIMPOOR<sup>∗</sup>

satisfying cyclical contractive conditions established by E. Karapinar  $[5]$ , in modular metric spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** Let X be an arbitrary set, the function  $\omega$ :  $(0,\infty) \times X \times$  $X \longrightarrow [0,\infty]$  that will be written as  $\omega_\lambda(x,y) = \omega(\lambda, x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ and for all  $\lambda > 0$ , is said to be a modular metric on X (or simply a modular if no ambiguity arises) if it satisfies the following three conditions: (i) given  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\omega_{\lambda}(x, y) = 0$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  if and nonly if  $x = y$ ;

(ii)  $\omega_{\lambda}(x, y) = \omega_{\lambda}(y, x)$ , for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ ;

(iii)  $\omega_{\lambda+\mu}(x,y) \leq \omega_{\lambda}(x,z) + \omega_{\mu}(z,y)$  for all  $\lambda,\mu > 0$  and  $x,y,z \in X$ . If instead of (i), we have only the condition:

 $(i_1) \omega_\lambda(x, x) = 0$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $\omega$  is said to be a (metric) pseudomodular on X and if  $\omega$  satisfies  $(i_1)$  and

 $(i_2)$  given  $x, y \in X$ , if there exists  $\lambda > 0$ , possibly depending on x and y, such that  $\omega_{\lambda}(x, y) = 0$  implies that  $x = y$ , then  $\omega$  is called a *strict modular* on  $X$ .

If instead of (*iii*) we replace the following condition for all  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  and  $x, y, z \in X;$ 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\omega_{\lambda+\mu}(x,y) \le \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu}\omega_{\lambda}(x,z) + \frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu}\omega_{\lambda}(z,y),\tag{1.1}
$$

then  $\omega$  is called a *convex modular* on X.

**Definition 1.2.** [\[2\]](#page-3-3) Given a modular  $\omega$  on X, the sets

$$
X_{\omega} \equiv X_{\omega}(x_{\circ}) = \{ x \in X : \omega_{\lambda}(x, x_{\circ}) \to 0 \text{ as } \lambda \to \infty \}
$$

and

$$
X_{\omega}^* \equiv X_{\omega}^*(x_{\circ}) = \{ x \in X : \omega_{\lambda}(x, x_{\circ}) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \}
$$

are said to be modular spaces (around  $x<sub>o</sub>$ ). Also the modular spaces  $X<sub>\omega</sub>$  and  $X^*_{\omega}$  can be equipped with metrics  $d_{\omega}$  and  $d_{\omega}^*$ , generated by  $\omega$  and given by

$$
d_{\omega}(x, y) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \omega_{\lambda}(x, y) \le \lambda\}, \quad x, y \in X_{\omega}
$$

and

$$
d^*_{\omega}(x,y) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \omega_{\lambda}(x,y) \le 1\}, \quad x, y \in X^*_{\omega}
$$

If  $\omega$  is a convex modular on X, then according to [\[2,](#page-3-3) Theorem 3.6] the two modular spaces coincide,  $X_{\omega} = X_{\omega}^*$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Given a modular metric space  $X_\omega$ , a sequence of elements  ${x_n}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  from  $X_{\omega}$  is said to be modular convergent ( $\omega$ −convergent) to an element  $x \in X$  if there exists a number  $\lambda > 0$ , possibly depending on  $\{x_n\}$ and x, such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega_\lambda(x_n, x) = 0$ . This will be written briefly as  $x_n \stackrel{\omega}{\rightarrow} x$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [\[4\]](#page-3-6) A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X_\omega$  is said to be  $\omega$ -Cauchy if there exists a number  $\lambda = \lambda({x_n}) > 0$  such that  $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \omega_\lambda(x_n,x_m) = 0$ , i.e.,

$$
\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \ n_{\circ}(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N} \ \text{such that} \ \forall n, m \ge n_{\circ}(\varepsilon) \ \colon \ \omega_{\lambda}(x_n, x_m) \le \varepsilon.
$$

Modular metric space  $X_{\omega}$  is said to be  $\omega$ -complete if each  $\omega$ -Cauchy sequence from  $X_\omega$  be modular convergent to an  $x \in X_\omega$ .

*Remark* 1.5. A modular  $\omega = \omega_{\lambda}$  on a set X, for given  $x, y \in X$ , is nonincreasing on  $\lambda$ . Indeed if  $0 < \lambda < \mu$ , then we have

$$
\omega_{\mu}(x,y) \le \omega_{\mu-\lambda}(x,x) + \omega_{\lambda}(x,y) = \omega_{\lambda}(x,y)
$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

### 2. Main result

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X_{\omega}$  be a modular metric space,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $T : X_{\omega} \to$  $X_{\omega}$  a map. Then we say that  $\cup_{i=1}^p A_i$  (where  $A_i \subseteq X_{\omega}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ ) is a cyclic representation of  $X$  with respect to  $T$  if and only if the following two conditions hold:

(I) 
$$
X_{\omega} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} A_i;
$$
  
(II)  $T(A_i) \subseteq A_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ , and  $T(A_p) \subseteq A_1$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X_{\omega}$  be a modular metric space, m a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m$   $\omega$ -closed nonempty subset of  $X_{\omega}$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$  and  $T$ :  $Y \to Y$  an operator. T is called a cyclic weak  $\phi$ -contraction if (I)  $\cup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  is a cyclic representation of Y with respect to T;

(II) there exists a non-decreasing function  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  with  $\phi(t) > 0$ for  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\phi(0) = 0$ , such that

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(Tx, Ty) \le \omega_{\lambda}(x, y) - \phi(\omega_{\lambda}(x, y)) \tag{2.1}
$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$  and for any  $x \in A_i, y \in A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, ..., m$  where  $A_{m+1} = A_1$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X_\omega = [0, 1]$ , we take a mapping  $\omega : (0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \times$  $[0, 1] \to [0, \infty]$  which is defined by  $\omega_{\lambda}(x, y) = \frac{|x - y|}{\lambda}$  for all  $x, y \in X = X_{\omega}$ and  $\lambda > 0$ . Consider the  $\omega$ -closed nonempty subsets of  $X_{\omega}$  as follow:  $A_1 = [0, 1], A_2 = [0, \frac{2}{3}]$  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $A_3 = [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $A_4 = [0, \frac{5}{12}]$  $\frac{5}{12}$ ,  $A_5 = [0, \frac{3}{8}]$  $\frac{8}{8}$  with  $X_{\omega} = Y =$  $\cup_{i=1}^{5} A_i$ . Let  $T: X_{\omega} \to X_{\omega}$  be the mapping defined by  $Tx = \frac{3x+1}{6}$  $\frac{1}{6}$ . Then,  $T(A_1) \subseteq A_2, T(A_2) \subseteq A_3, T(A_3) \subseteq A_4, T(A_4) \subseteq A_5, T(A_5) \subseteq A_1$ . And

$$
\omega_{\lambda}(Tx,Ty) = \frac{\left|\frac{3x+1}{6} - \frac{3y+1}{6}\right|}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{|x-y|}{2}\right) \le \omega_{\lambda}(x,y) - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\lambda}(x,y).
$$

Furthermore, if  $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by  $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ , then  $\phi$  is strictly increasing and  $T$  is a cyclic weak  $\phi$ -contraction.

Remark 2.4. Rewriting the inequality [1.1](#page-1-0) in the form

$$
(\lambda + \mu)\omega_{\lambda+\mu}(x, y) \le \lambda \omega_{\lambda}(x, z) + \mu \omega_{\mu}(y, z)
$$

we find that the function  $\omega$  is a convex modular on X if and only if the function  $\hat{\omega}(x, y) = \lambda \omega_{\lambda}(x, y)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ , is simply a modular on X, and the function  $\lambda \mapsto \hat{\omega}(x, y) = \lambda \omega_{\lambda}(x, y)$  are non-increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ :

$$
\text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq \mu, \text{ then } \omega_{\mu}(x, y) \leq \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \omega_{\lambda}(x, y) \leq \omega_{\mu}(x, y).
$$

Now, for any  $\mu \geq \lambda$  we find  $k \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\mu = k\lambda$  and so

$$
\omega_{k\lambda}(x,y) \le \frac{1}{k}\omega_{\lambda}(x,y). \tag{2.2}
$$

<span id="page-3-10"></span>**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\omega$  be a convex modular on X such that  $X_{\omega}$  is a  $\omega$ -complete modular metric space, m is a positive integer,  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m$  w-closed subsets of  $X_\omega$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ . Suppose that  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(t)$  is a non-decreasing function and  $\varphi(t) = 0$  only for  $t = 0$  and  $T : X_\omega \times X_\omega \to X_\omega$ is a cyclic weak  $\varphi$ -contraction where  $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  is a cyclic representation of Y with respect to T. Then, T has a unique fixed point  $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $T: Y \to Y$  be a self mapping as in Theorem [2.5](#page-3-10). (i) If there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in Y with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega_\lambda(y_n,Ty_n) = 0$  then  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\omega_{\lambda}(y_n,z)=0.$ 

(ii) If there exists a  $\omega$ -convergent sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in Y with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega_{\lambda}(y_{n+1}, Ty_n) = 0$  then there exists  $x \in Y$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\omega_{\lambda}(y_n,T^nx)=0.$ 

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-3-4"></span>1. V. V. Chystyakov, Modular metric spaces generated by F-modulars, Folia Math., 15(2008) 3-24.
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>2. V. V. Chystyakov, Modular metric spaces I: Basic concepts, Nonlinear Analysis, 72(2010) 1-14.
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>3. V. V. Chystyakov, Modular metric spaces II: Application to superposition operators, Nonlinear Analysis, 72(2010) 15-30.
- <span id="page-3-6"></span>4. V. V. Chystyakov, A fixed point theorem for contractions in modular metric spaces, arXive:1112.5561, 1 (2011).
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>5. E. Karapinar,Fixed point theory for cyclic weak φ-contraction, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011) 822825.
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>6. E. Karapinar, I. Erhan, Best proximity on different type contractions, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci., 5(3) (2011) 558-569.
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>7. W. Kirk, P. Srinivasan, P. Veeramani, Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions, Fixed Point Theory, 4(1) (2003) 79-89.
- <span id="page-3-1"></span>8. J. Musielak, W. Orlicz, On modular spaces, Studia Mathematica, 18 (1959) 49-65.
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>9. J. Musielak, W. Orlicz, Some remarks on modular spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sr. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys., 7 (1959) 661-668.
- <span id="page-3-0"></span>10. H. Nakano, Modular semi-ordered spaces, Tokyo, 1950.