

MULTIPLICITY OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR AN ANISOTROPIC ELLIPTIC SYSTEM

T. SOLTANI^{*}, A. RAZANI

Department of Pure Mathematics, Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin 34194, Iran t.soltani@edu.ikiu.ac.ir; razani@sci.ikiu.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. Here, by using variational methods, the multiplicity of weak solutions for a system of problems including the anisotropic $\overrightarrow{p}(x)$ -Laplacian operator is proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Anisotropic \overrightarrow{p} -Laplacian operator

$$\Delta_{\overrightarrow{p}(x)}u = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right|^{p_{i}(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \right)$$

 $\overrightarrow{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$, with a complex structure that behaves differently in different directions of space, has been the focus of many authors in recent years [3, 4]. This operator is used in equations that descriptions electromagnetic fields, the plasma physics and elastic mechanics.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 34B15; 35B38; 58E05

Key words and phrases. $\overrightarrow{p}(x)$ -Laplacian operator, Neumann elliptic system, variational methods.

^{*} T. soltani.

In this paper, using variational methods, we examine the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for anisotropic system

$$-\Delta_{\overrightarrow{p}(x)}u + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\N}}^{N} a_1(x)|u|^{p_i(x)-2}u = \lambda F_u(x,u,v) + \mu G_u(x,u,v) \quad \text{in }\Omega,$$

$$-\Delta_{\overrightarrow{p}(x)}v + \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_2(x)|v|^{p_i(x)-2}v = \lambda F_v(x,u,v) + \mu G_v(x,u,v) \qquad \text{in }\Omega,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{On } \partial\Omega.$$
(1.1)

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, is a non-empty bounded open set with a boundary $\partial \Omega$ of class C^1 , ν is the outer unit normal to $\partial \Omega$. $\overrightarrow{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$ where for $i = 1, \dots, N$, p_i s are continuous functions on Ω with $p_i(x) \geq 2$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Also λ, μ are positive parameters, F_{ξ}, G_{ξ} denote the partial derivative of F, G with respect to ξ and F(x, ..., .), G(x, ..., .) are continuously differentiable in \mathbb{R}^2 for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, for i = 1, 2, functions $a_i(x)$ are true in the following condition:

 (A_0)

$$a_i \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad a_i^0 := ess \inf_{x \in \Omega} a_i(x) > 0.$$

If $T: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ then, we suppose following assumption on T:(T_0) $T: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable in Ω for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and T(x,.,.) is C^1 with respect to $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for each $\theta > 0$,

$$\sup_{|(s,t)| \le \theta} |T_u(.,s,t)|, \sup_{|(s,t)| \le \theta} |T_v(.,s,t)| \in L^1(\Omega).$$

2. Preliminaries and notations

We start by introducing the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces. We consider the vectorial function $\overrightarrow{p}: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\overrightarrow{p}(x) = (p_1(x), \cdots, p_N(x))$ that $p_i \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ for all $i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$. We set

$$p^- := \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x), \qquad p^+ := \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x),$$

 $\underline{p} = \min \left\{ p_i^- : i = 1, \cdots, N \right\}, \quad \overline{p} = \max \left\{ p_i^+ : i = 1, \cdots, N \right\}.$

The anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space is defined as follows

$$W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^{p_i(x)}(\Omega) \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, N \right\},\$$

with the norm $||u||_{\overrightarrow{p}} := ||u||_{W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}(x)(\Omega)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(||\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}||_{p_i} + ||u||_{p_i} \right)$. The space $(W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}(x)}(\Omega), ||\cdot||_{\overrightarrow{p}})$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space. We consider the product space

$$X := W^{1,\overline{p}(x)}(\Omega) \times W^{1,\overline{p}(x)}(\Omega)$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

which is equipped with the norm $||(u, v)|| := ||u||_{\overrightarrow{p}} + ||v||_{\overrightarrow{p}}$. Define the functionals $\Phi, \Psi_{\lambda,\mu} : X \to \mathbb{R}$, by

$$\Phi(u,v) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_i(x)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{a_1(x)}{p_i(x)} \left| u \right|^{p_i(x)} dx \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_i(x)} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|^{p_i(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{a_2(x)}{p_i(x)} \left| v \right|^{p_i(x)} dx \right), \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\Psi_{\lambda,\mu}(u,v) := \int_{\Omega} F(x,u,v) dx + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} G(x,u,v) dx, \qquad (2.2)$$

for any $(u, v) \in X$. set $I_{\lambda,\mu} = \Phi(u, v) - \lambda \Psi_{\lambda,\mu}(u, v)$. To prove the main theorem, we need the following lemma which we have proved in this article.

Lemma 2.1. set $U(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}|^{p_i(x)} dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{p_i(x)} dx \right)$ for all $u \in W^{1, \overrightarrow{p}(x)}(\Omega)$. So, there exist constants $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ that (i) $\|u\|_{\overrightarrow{p}} \ge 1 \Longrightarrow \beta_1 \|u\|_{\overrightarrow{p}}^{\underline{p}} \le U(x) \le \beta_2 \|u\|_{\overrightarrow{p}}^{\underline{p}}$, (ii) $\|u\|_{\overrightarrow{p}} \le 1 \Longrightarrow \beta_1 \|u\|_{\overrightarrow{p}}^{\underline{p}} \le U(x) \le \beta_2 \|u\|_{\overrightarrow{p}}^{\underline{p}}$.

3. MAIN RESULT

In the following, we will state the main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (A₁) for each $(x, s, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $F(x, s, t) \ge 0$; (A₂) there exist $\alpha \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \alpha(x) > 0$ a.e. in Ω and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C_+$ with $0 < \gamma_1(x) \le \gamma_1^+ < \gamma_2^+ < \frac{p}{2}$ such that $|F(x, s, t)|, |G(x, s, t)| \le \alpha(x) \left(1 + |s|^{\gamma_1(x)} + |t|^{\gamma_2(x)}\right)$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and each $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$;

 (A_3) there exist two positive constants δ and τ such that

$$c_{\overline{0}}^{\underline{p}}C_{\underline{p}}^{2}N^{\underline{p}}(a_{1}^{0}+a_{2}^{0})meas(\Omega)\min\{\delta^{\underline{p}},\delta^{\overline{p}}\}>\min\{1,a_{1}^{0},a_{2}^{0}\}\tau^{\underline{p}};$$
(A₄)

so, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\delta,\tau}$, given by

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} \sup_{|(s,t)| \le \tau} F(x,s,t) dx}{\tau^{\underline{p}}} < \frac{\underline{p} \min\{1, a_1^0, a_2^0\}}{\overline{p} C_0^{\underline{p}} C_{\underline{p}}^2 N^{\underline{p}} (\|a_1\|_{\infty} + \|a_2\|_{\infty}) \operatorname{meas}(\Omega) \max\{\delta^{\overline{p}}, \delta^{\underline{p}}\}};$$

$$\frac{(\|a_1\|_{\infty} + \|a_2\|_{\infty})Nmeas(\Omega)\max\left\{\delta^{\overline{p}}, \delta^{\underline{p}}\right\}}{\underline{p}\int_{\Omega}F(x,\delta,\delta)dx}, \frac{\min\{1,a_1^0,a_2^0\}\tau^{\underline{p}}}{\overline{p}C_{\overline{0}}^{\underline{p}}C_{\underline{p}}^{\underline{p}}N^{\underline{p}-1}\int_{\Omega}\sup_{|(s,t)|\leq\tau}F(x,s,t)dx} \begin{bmatrix} (3.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

and for every $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ given by $\varepsilon =$ $\min\{\mathcal{A}_{\tau}, \mathcal{B}_{\delta}\}, where$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\tau} = \frac{\min\{1, a_1^0, a_2^0\}\tau^{\underline{p}} - \lambda \overline{p} C_0^{\underline{p}} C_{\underline{p}}^{2} N^{\underline{p}-1} \int_{\Omega} \sup_{|(s,t)| \le \tau} F(x, s, t) dx}{\overline{p} C_0^{\underline{p}} C_{\underline{p}}^{2} N^{\underline{p}-1} \int_{\Omega} \sup_{|(s,t)| \le \tau} G(x, s, t) dx},$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{\delta} = \frac{\lambda \underline{p} \int_{\Omega} F(x, \delta, \delta) dx - N(\|a_1\|_{\infty} + \|a_2\|_{\infty}) meas(\Omega) \max\left\{\delta^{\overline{p}}, \delta^{\underline{p}}\right\}}{\underline{p} \int_{\Omega} G(x, \delta, \delta) dx},$$

such that for each $\mu \in [0, \varepsilon[$, the problem (1.1) admits at least three distinct weak solutions.

Proof. Using the critical points theorem of Bonanno and Marano[1], we prove the existence at least three distinct weak solutions for system (1.1). we showed that Φ is coercive and functions Φ and Ψ hold in the conditions of the three critical points theorem of Bonanno, that's mean,

- Φ, Ψ_{λ,μ} ∈ C¹(X, ℝ) [2, Lemma 3.4].
 The functional Φ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous.
- $\Psi'_{\lambda,\mu}: X \to X^*$ is a compact operator.
- Φ' admits a continuous inverse on X^* .

In the following, for $\delta > 0$, we pick $w(x) := (\delta, \delta)$ for any $x \in \Omega$ and 0 0 0

$$r := \frac{\min\left\{1, a_1^0, a_2^0\right\}}{\overline{p}C_{\underline{p}}^2 N^{\underline{p}-1}} \left(\frac{\tau}{C_0}\right)^{\underline{p}}.$$

t for $\lambda \in \left[\frac{\Phi(w)}{\Psi(w)}, \frac{r}{\sup(u, v) \in \Phi^{-1}(l_0, v) \in \Psi(u, v)}\right]$

We show that for $\lambda \in \left| \frac{x(w)}{\Psi(w)}, \frac{r}{\sup_{(u,v)\in\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty,r[)}\Psi(u,v)} \right|$, the functional $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ is coercive. Therefore, all the conditions of Bonanno's theorem are satisfied and we can conclude that the functional $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ admits at least three critical points in X which are the weak solutions of system (1.1).

References

- 1. G. Bonanno, and S.A. Marano, On the structure of the critical set of nondifferentiable functions with a weak compactness condition, Appl. Anal., 89 (2010) 1–10.
- 2. B. Kone, S. Ouaro, and S. Traore, Weak solutions for anisotropic nonlinear elliptic equations with variable exponents, Electron. J. Differential Equations., **2009** (2009) 1-11.
- 3. A. Razani, and G. Figueiredo, Existence of infinitely many solutions for an anisotropic equation using genus theory, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, (2022).
- 4. T. Soltani, and A. Razani, Solutions to some systems of nonlocal problems on a bounded domain, Iran J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A. Sci., (2022) 1-8. https: //doi.org/10.1007/s40995-022-01356-9.